	ornia PIMA COTTON VAR						February 2, 2019 update			
Seed cotton yields, mini-g	gin calculated lint percent a	and gin turnout,	calculated lint	yield average	S					
Questions?		Cooperative Project by:								
contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA)		University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC								
Cell: (559) 260-8957		Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc., CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept. Cooperators: multiple growers, Steve Wright, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Lynn Sosnoskie, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Raul Delgado,								
email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.e	eau 				an Munk, Brian Marsh, Lynn Sosho ative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fre					
		San Joaquin Qu	illes,							
		San Joaquin Qu	anty Cotton Grow	Pers AssocSnan						
OCATION: LOS BANOS	_ Bowles Farms)				HARVEST DATE: 10/29					
row spacing = 30 inches		<u> </u>								
spacing - or mones					LINT YIELD*					
		SEED		Mini-Gin	(calculated as seed cotton yield	LINT YIELD	SEEDCOTTON YIELD			
		COTTON	Mini-Gin	GIN	times mini-gin turnout)	(calculated as a % of	(calculated as a % of			
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	LBS/A	LINT %	T.O. %	LBS/A	Phy-881 RF Yield) d	Phy-881 RF Yield) ^d			
DP 341RF	Monsanto / DPL	4503	42.6	41.0	1844	89	89			
DP 348RF	Monsanto / DPL	5132	42.3	40.5	2076	100	101			
PHY 841RF	Phytogen	5102	43.0	41.3	2109	102	101			
PHY 881RF	Phytogen	5071	42.6	40.8	2069	100	100			
PHY 888RF	Phytogen	5017	42.0	40.4	2024	98	99			
HA 1432	Hazera	7676	39.3	38.0	2916	141	151			
MEAN		5417	42.0	40.3	2173					
LSD 0.05 ^a		539	0.9	0.8	214					
%CV ^b		6.6	1.5	1.3	6.5					
P °		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000					
NOTE: LINT VIELD VALUE	S shown word calculated using	a mini gin. This si	mala ginning ma	thad differs from	UCCE methods in prior years (min	ni gin doos not have som	nmoreial ain etyle eleganers			
NOTE. LINT HELD VALUE					ning and ginning timing, and basic					
	mini-gin. All samples were ha	andled in an identic	al manner in tern	ns of mini-gin ope	erations, so gin turnout and lint per	rcent numbers represen	t relative variety differences.			
		mean values show	wn that differ by n	nore than LSD va	alue shown are significantly differe	nt)				
C.V. = coefficient of variation	•									
	n is 0.05 or less, there is great				·					
= <u>PHY 881 RF used for comp</u>	parison since it was the Pima v	ariety with the large	est commercial au	<u>creage planted in</u> 	the San Joaquin Valley in 2018					
				1		1				

_	ornia PIMA COTTON VAR						February 2, 2019 update	Э		
Seed cotton yields, mini-g	gin calculated lint percent a	nd gin turnout,	calculated lint	yield average	S					
Questions?		Cooperative F								
contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA)		University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC								
Cell: (559) 260-8957		Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc., CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept. Cooperators: multiple growers, Steve Wright, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Lynn Sosnoskie, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Raul Delgado,								
mail: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.e	edu				ative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fr		•			
					er Research Station	CSITO, INCITIC COOL	inco,			
		Can ocaquin Qui		Cro / locoto. Crian	Trocoaren Glatien					
OCATION: CORCORAN	⊥ I area - Kings County (Ha	nsen Ranches)			Harvest Date: 10/2	27			
ow spacing = 30 inches										
					LINT YIELD*					
		SEED		Mini-Gin	(calculated as seed cotton yield	LINT YIELD	SEEDCOTTON YIE	LD		
		COTTON	Mini-Gin	GIN	times mini-gin turnout)	(calculated as a % of	(calculated as a % of			
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	LBS/A	LINT %	T.O. %	LBS/A	Phy-881 RF Yield) d	Phy-881 RF Yield) ^d			
DP 341RF	Monsanto / DPL	5834	41.4	40.0	2332	91	94			
DP 348RF	Monsanto / DPL	5985	42.1	40.9	2446	95	97			
PHY 841RF	Phytogen	5923	42.8	41.3	2448	95	96			
PHY 881RF	Phytogen	6185	42.7	41.6	2572	100	100			
PHY 888RF	Phytogen	5837	42.3	40.9	2389	93	94			
DP 358RF	Monsanto / DPL	6045	41.6	40.3	2438	95	98			
MEAN		5968	42.2	40.8	2438					
LSD 0.05 ^a			0.9	0.8	124					
LSD 0.10 ^a		203								
%CV ^b		2.7	1.4	1.3	3.4					
P ^c		0.062	0.015	0.010	0.021					
NOTE: LINT YIELD VALUES	shown were calculated using	a mini-gin. This si	mple ginnina met	thod differs from	UCCE methods in prior years (m	ini-gin does not have com	nmercial gin style cleaners	 3.		
	Corrections were calculated for	r moisture loss/ga	in between field h	narvest weight tir	ning and ginning timing, and basi	c gin loss estimates are t	ypically lower with use of			
					erations, so gin turnout and lint pe		t relative variety difference	es.		
		mean values show	vn that differ by n	nore than LSD va	alue shown are significantly differ	ent)				
C.V. = coefficient of variation	n across replications on is 0.05 or less, there is greate	or than a 05% proh	ability of significa	ant differences by	etween mean values shown)					
					the San Joaquin Valley in 2018					

-	rnia PIMA COTTON VAF						February 2, 2019 update			
Seed cotton yields, mini-g	in calculated lint percent	and gin turnout,	calculated lint	yield average:	S					
astiana2		Cooperative	Project by:							
Questions? contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA)		Cooperative Project by: University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC								
Cell: (559) 260-8957		Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc., CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept.								
email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu		Cooperators: multiple growers, Steve Wright, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Lynn Sosnoskie, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Raul Delgado,								
		Tarilee Frigulti-S	Schramm, Univ. C	A ANR - Cooper	ative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fre	sno, Kern, Merced Cour	ities;			
		San Joaquin Qu	ality Cotton Grow	ers AssocShaft	er Research Station					
	RD / HURON area - Fresi	no County (AZC	AL Mgmt - Sh	neely Farms)		HARVEST DATE: 1	0/30			
ow spacing = 40 inches										
					LINT YIELD*					
		SEED		Mini-Gin	(calculated as seed cotton yield	LINT YIELD	SEEDCOTTON YIELI			
		COTTON	Mini-Gin	GIN	times mini-gin turnout)	(calculated as a % of	(calculated as a % of			
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	LBS/A	LINT %	T.O. %	LBS/A	Phy-881 RF Yield) ^d	Phy-881 RF Yield) ^d			
DP 341RF	Monsanto / DPL	6928	42.1	39.9	2768	96	103			
DP 348RF	Monsanto / DPL	7055	42.9	41.3	2917	101	105			
PHY 841RF	Phytogen	7064	44.3	42.5	3004	104	105			
PHY 881RF	Phytogen	6746	44.4	42.8	2887	100	100			
PHY 888RF	Phytogen	6932	43.1	41.4	2868	99	103			
PHY 802 RF	Phytogen	6907	42.7	41.0	2828	98	102			
MEAN		6939	43.3	41.5	2879					
LSD 0.05 ^a		NS	1.4	1.2	NS					
%CV ^b		3.4	1.8	1.6	3.2					
P ^c		0.612	0.026	0.003	0.122					
NOTE: LINT YIELD VALUES					UCCE methods in prior years (minning and ginning timing, and basic					
					erations, so gin turnout and lint per					
LSD = least significant differen	ence at 5% level (differences in				lue shown are significantly differe		,			
C.V. = coefficient of variation	•		1 114 6 1 12							
	n is 0.05 or less, there is great	•			tween mean values shown) the San Joaquin Valley in 2018					

	ornia PIMA COTTON VAF						February 2, 2019 updat	te		
Seed cotton yields, mini-	gin calculated lint percent	and gin turnout,	calculated lint	yield average	S					
Questions?		Cooperative F					T	ı		
contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA)		University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC								
Cell: (559) 260-8957		Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc., CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept. Cooperators: multiple growers, Steve Wright, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Lynn Sosnoskie, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Raul Delgado,								
email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis	.eau				an Munk, Brian Marsh, Lynn Sosho ative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fre					
					ter Research Station	Silo, Reili, Mercea Coal	iues,			
		Can coaquin Qui	anty Cotton Crow		Tressaren etatien					
OCATION: WEST SIDE	RESEARCH CENTER - F	ive Points area	- Fresno Cou	unty		HARVEST DATE: 1	11/05			
row spacing = 40 inches	* very high early season ly	gus pressure								
					LINT YIELD*					
		SEED		Mini-Gin	(calculated as seed cotton yield	LINT YIELD	SEEDCOTTON YIE	LD		
		COTTON	Mini-Gin	GIN	times mini-gin turnout)	(calculated as a % of	(calculated as a % of			
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	LBS/A	LINT %	T.O. %	LBS/A	Phy-881 RF Yield) d	Phy-881 RF Yield) d			
DP 341RF	Monsanto / DPL	4323	41.1	39.6	1711	132	133			
DP 348RF	Monsanto / DPL	3838	42.4	40.8	1557	120	118			
PHY 841RF	Phytogen	3231	41.8	40.1	1294	100	100			
PHY 881RF	Phytogen	3245	41.8	40.0	1296	100	100			
PHY 888RF	Phytogen	3715	42.3	40.7	1510	117	114			
HA 1432	Hazera	5467	37.6	36.4	1993	154	168			
PHY 802RF	Phytogen	3660	41.8	39.7	1451	112	113			
DP 358RF	Monsanto / DPL	3074	41.4	40.0	1228	95	95			
PHY 805RF	Phytogen	2932	42.9	41.2	1209	93	90			
MEAN		3721	41.5	39.8	1472					
LSD 0.05 ^a		729	1.7	1.6	265					
%CV ^b		13.4	2.8	2.7	12.3					
P °		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000					
NOTE: LINT YIELD VALUE					UCCE methods in prior years (min ming and ginning timing, and basic					
					erations, so gin turnout and lint per					
LSD = least significant diffe					alue shown are significantly differen					
C.V. = coefficient of variatio										
P = probability (if value show = PHY 881 RF used for com	wn is 0.05 or less, there is great									