University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources Making a Difference for California



The Great Integrator - Why the Cotton Plant is a Good Indicator for Lygus Management

Peter B. Goodell – IPM Advisor, UC Statewide Program

For over 20 years, UC has recommended plant based measurements as a basis for production decisions. These decisions include timing for Lygus treatments, using plant growth regulators, determining crop cutout and planning for defoliation. Details are available from the *Cotton Production Manual*, UC ANR Publication 3352.



Cotton Field Check

June 28, 2011

Why use plant based measures? Think of the plant like a patient in the physician's office. Often questions that the doctor asks helps guide us toward a treatment. Likewise the cotton can tell a story, if you know how to read it. For Lygus management decisions, there are three key features; the age of the plant (number of fruiting branches), the early fruit (retention of fruit on the bottom five fruiting branches) and the current fruit load (retention of fruit on the top five fruiting branches).

The cotton plant cannot lie about previous stress it has suffered, including cool weather, early insect damage, poor irrigation timing or heat. Competition within the cotton plant for resources is One stress we often ignore. Cotton produces more fruit than it can mature because it produces fruit as insurance for its species survival. Thus, as the season progresses, fruit is less likely to be retained under normal yield loads. We know this because we measured it and can provide predictive guidance about the

Total Fruiting Branches	Percent retention of the first position fruit on the BOTTOM 5 fruiting branches									
	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
5 or	The expected retention of the top 5 first fruiting positions is 73%. The retention on the first branch is erratic and at least 3 branches should be present before Lygus decisions are m									
less	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	72	71
6	73	73	73	73	73	73	72	72	70	69
7	73	73	73	73	73	72	71	70	68	65
8	73	73	73	73	72	71	69	66	63	60
9		73	72	71	70	68	65	62	58	53
10	Sa	-0	71	69	67	65	60	56	51	46
11	1	ares h.	2.9	66	62	58	54	49	44	39
12	69	0	come	61	56	51	46	41	37	32
13	66	63	- 18	SS liker	49	44	39	35	30	27
14	61	57	52	- ery	to see	37	33	29	25	22
15	55	50	45	40		Plan	27	24	21	18
16	48	43	38	33	29	Sinta	atur	20	18	16
17	40	36	31	28	24	21	-ures a	Ind.	15	14
18	34	29	26	23	20	18	16	Deol P	58 51 44 37 30 25 21 18 15 <i>increases</i>	12
19	28	24	21	19	17	15	14	13	"crease	~
20	23	20	18	16	15	13	12	11	11	

probability of any fruit being maintained to harvest.

If you know the age of the plant, the current fruit load on the bottom and the current fruit load in top, you can determine whether your current retention is greater than expected (see table). If fruit was lost early, regardless of the cause, the current top fruit is more valuable and should be retained.

Coupling the expected fruit retention with Lygus population density estimates from sweep counts, a good interpretation can be made regarding the threat of that population. If fruit is predicted to drop anyway because of existing fruit load, more Lygus can be tolerated. See complete instructions and calculator

at <u>http://www.ipm.ucdavis.edu/PMG/C114/m114mklygusdecisn.html</u>.

Letting the cotton plant tell its story is essential for setting treatment thresholds for Lygus. The threshold is dynamic depending on crop condition, age of the plant, time of year and surrounding sources for pests. Please go to http://cottoninfo.ucdavis.edu/cotton.htm and download, Using Plant Based Measurements to Support Lygus Management Decisions.