2017 University of	f California PIMA COTT	ON VARIE	TY TRIALS				January 25, 2018 update				
Seed cotton yields, mi	ini-gin calculated lint percent	and gin turno	ut, calculated lint yie	eld averages							
Questions?			Cooperative Project	t by:							
contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA)		University of CA Coop.	Extension (UC-ANR)	/ Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept	. / Univ. CA West Side RE	EC	Ļ			
Cell: (559) 260-8957			Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc., CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept.								
email: rbhutmacher@ucda	vis.edu		Cooperators: multiple growers, Steve Wright, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Raul Delgado, TariLee Frigulti,								
			SJV Quality Cotton Gro	owers AssocShafter,	Univ CA Cooperative Extension	n Tulare, Kings, Fresno, K	ern, Merced Counties				
Field 12											
Location: West Side Research and Extension Center (Fresno County)											
Row spacing = 40 incl	nes										
					LINT YIELD*						
		SEED	MINI-GIN	MINI-GIN	*(calculated as	LINT YIELD	SEEDCOTTON				
		COTTON	LINT PERCENT	GIN TURNOUT	seed cotton yield	(as % of	YIELD (as %				
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	(lbs/acre)	(%)	(%)	times Mini-Gin Turnout)	Phy-841 RF yield)	of Phy-841 RF yield)				
DP 348 RF	DPL / Monsanto	4328	41.2	40.0	1734	99	101				
DP 358 RF	DPL / Monsanto	4204	40.3	39.8	1674	95	98				
MON 16R 330 R2P	Monsanto	4546	40.9	40.1	1824	104	106				
MON 16R 341 R2P	Monsanto	4406	41.4	40.6	1788	102	103				
OA EXP 16-48	Monsanto / Olvey & Assoc.	4401	41.0	40.1	1766	101	103				
PHY 841 RF	Phytogen	4285	41.8	41.0	1757	100	100				
PHY 881 RF	Phytogen	4456	41.5	40.7	1814	103	104				
PHY 888 RF	Phytogen	4330	40.1	40.2	1741	99	101				
HA 1432	Hazera	5147	38.6	38.1	1961	112	120				
HA 690	Hazera	4386	38.5	37.9	1659	94	102				
PHY 805 RF	Phytogen	3955	42.1	40.8	1612	92	92				
PHY 802 RF	Phytogen	3746	40.7	39.9	1495	85	87				
MEAN		4349	40.7	39.9	1735						
^a LSD 0.05		438	0.7	0.8	172						
^a LSD 0.10											
^b %CV		7.0	1.3	1.4	6.9						
°Р		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001						
* NOTE: LINT YIELD VAL	UES shown were calculated using	g a mini-gin. Thi	s simple ginning metho	d differs from UCCE m	ethods in prior years (mini-gin	does not have commercia	l gin style cleaners.				
	Corrections were calculated for m	noisture loss/gair	h between field harvest	weight timing and ginn	ing timing, and basic gin loss e	stimates are typically lowe	er with use of	 			
^a I SD – least significant d	ifference at 5% level (differences in	eu in an identica n mean values s	hown that differ by more	ni-yin operations, so g e than LSD value show	in tumout and lint percent NUM	bers represent relative var	ety ullierences.	<u> </u>			
^b C.V. = coefficient of varia	ation across replications	i incan values s						<u> </u>			
^c P = probability (if value s	hown is 0.05 or less, there is great	ter than a 95% p	robability of significant of	differences between m	ean values shown)						

2016 University of California PIMA COTTON VARIETY TRIALS

Seed cotton yields, mini-gin calculated lint percent and gin turnout, calculated lint yield averages

Dec. 21, 2016 update

MINI-GIN versus SHAFTER RESEARCH GIN COMPARISON from prior years for information purposes - comparison, since 2017 trial data all based on mini-gin processing

from prior years (2015-2016 as shown, not 2017)

Questions?	Cooperative Project by:
contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA)	University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC
Cell: (559) 260-8957	Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc., CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept.
email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu	Cooperators: multiple growers, Steve Wright, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Raul Delgado, TariLee Frigulti,
	SJV Quality Cotton Growers AssocShafter, Univ CA Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties

Location: Los Banos area (Merced County)

HARVEST DATE: 10/12

			2016	2016	for comparison:				
		SEED	MINI-GIN	MINI-GIN	GIN TURNOUT	S PERCENT fro	m		
		COTTON	LINT PERCENT	GIN TURNOUT	2015 COTTON	TRIALS (**2015	5 analyses doi	ne using Shafte	r Research Gin)
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	(lbs/acre)	(%)	(%)	Corcoran	Los Banos	Riverdale	Shafter	
PHY 805RF	Phytogen	3329	43.8	42.6	34.1	32.1	34.5	33.2	
PX 8188RF	Phytogen	4238	43.8	42.7	34.9	33	35.6	33.7	
PHY 841RF	Phytogen	4231	44.1	42.7	34.4	33.6	35.2	34	
PHY 881RF	Phytogen	4242	44.2	42.8					
DP 348RF	Monsanto / Delta Pine	4022	43.4	42.4	33.3	32.5	33	32.9	
DP 358RF	Monsanto / Delta Pine	3817	42.9	41.6	32.6	32.2	33	31.5	
DP/OA-EXP. 38	Monsanto / Olvey & Assoc.	4026	43.4	42.0					
DP/OA-EXP. 48	Monsanto / Olvey & Assoc.	3820	43.5	42.7					
MEAN		3966	43.6	42.4					

* if values not shown, not in 2015 trials

** Shafter Research Gin is a smaller scale, commercial type gin with lint cleaners The lint yields shown on the SUMMARY PAGE for this site were determined using the mini-gin turnout % data, which tends to be significantly higher than a more standard type of gin (such as the 'Shafter Research Gin' which incorporates lint cleaners. <u>2015 trial gin turnouts</u> determined using the 'Shafter Research Gin' are provided for information only. Since they were determined using different fields in a different year, there is no expectation that the same gin turnouts would apply for 2016 field sites.

2017 University o	2017 University of California PIMA COTTON VARIETY TRIALS								
Seed cotton yields, m	ini-gin calculated lint percent	and gin turne	out, calculated lint yi	eld averages					
Questions?		ſ	Cooperative Project	et by:					
contact: Bob Hutmacher ((Univ. CA)		University of CA Coop.	Extension (UC-ANR)	/ Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dep	t. / Univ. CA West Side R	EC		
Cell: (559) 260-8957			Funding by: CA Cotto	n Growers&Ginners A	ssoc., CA Cotton Alliance, UC-	ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Pla	ant Sci. Dept.		
email: rbhutmacher@ucda	avis.edu		Cooperators: multiple	e growers, Steve Wrig	ht, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Bill	Weir, Mark Keeley, Raul	Delgado, TariLee Frigulti,		
Location: Buttonwil	Location: Buttonwillow area (Kern County)								
Row spacing = 38 inc	hes								
······									
					LINT YIELD*				
		SEED	MINI-GIN	MINI-GIN	*(calculated as	LINT YIELD	SEEDCOTTON		
		COTTON	LINT PERCENT	GIN TURNOUT	seed cotton yield	(as % of	YIELD (as %		
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	(lbs/acre)	(%)	(%)	times Mini-Gin Turnout)	Phy-841 RF yield)	of Phy-841 RF yield)		
DP 348 RF	DPL / Monsanto	3464	39.7	38.3	1329	81	85		
DP 358 RF	DPL / Monsanto	3338	38.8	37.3	1245	76	82		
MON 16R 330 R2P	Monsanto	3383	39.7	38.1	1289	79	83		
MON 16R 341 R2P	Monsanto	3928	40.7	38.9	1527	94	96		
OA EXP 16-48	Monsanto / Olvey & Assoc.	3564	40.0	38.7	1378	84	87		
PHY 841RF	Phytogen	4089	41.5	40.0	1633	100	100		
PHY 881 RF	Phytogen	3973	40.8	39.4	1564	96	97		
PHY 888 RF	Phytogen	3871	40.8	39.0	1509	92	95		
HA 1432	Hazera								
HA 690	Hazera								
MEAN		3701	40.3	38.7	1434				
^a LSD 0.05		259	0.9	0.9	122				
^a LSD 0.10									
^b %CV		4.8	1.5	1.6	5.8				
° P		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000				
* NOTE: LINT YIELD VA	LUES shown were calculated using	g a mini-gin. Th	nis simple ginning metho	od differs from UCCE r	methods in prior years (mini-gin	does not have commerci	al gin style cleaners.		
	Corrections were calculated for m	noisture loss/ga	in between field harvest	weight timing and gin	ning timing, and basic gin loss	estimates are typically low	ver with use of		
^a ISD – least significant d	Imini-gin. All samples were hand	nean values	ai manner in terms of m	ini-gin operations, so	gin turnout and lint percent num	ibers represent relative va	ariety differences.		
b = 100 = 100 significant of vari	ation across replications				with are significantly unreferit)		+		
^c P = probability (if value s	P = probability (if value shown is 0.05 or less, there is greater than a 95% probability of significant differences between mean values shown)								

2017 University o	2017 University of California PIMA COTTON VARIETY TRIALS									
Seed cotton yields, m	ini-gin calculated lint percent	and gin turne	out, calculated lint yi	eld averages						
Questions?		I	Cooperative Project	et by:						
contact: Bob Hutmacher ((Univ. CA)		University of CA Coop.	Extension (UC-ANR)	/ Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dep	t. / Univ. CA West Side R	EC			
Cell: (559) 260-8957			Funding by: CA Cotto	n Growers&Ginners A	ssoc., CA Cotton Alliance, UC-	ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Pla	ant Sci. Dept.			
email: rbhutmacher@ucda	avis.edu		Cooperators: multiple growers, Steve Wright, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Raul Delgado, TariLee Frigulti,							
	SJV Quality Cotton Growers AssocShalter, Univ CA Cooperative Extension Tutare, Kings, Fresho, Kern, Merced Counties									
Location: Corcoran area (Kings County) HARVEST DATE: 11/01										
					LINT YIELD*					
		SEED	MINI-GIN	MINI-GIN	*(calculated as	LINT YIELD	SEEDCOTTON			
		COTTON	LINT PERCENT	GIN TURNOUT	seed cotton yield	(as % of	YIELD (as %			
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	(lbs/acre)	(%)	(%)	times Mini-Gin Turnout)	Phy-841 RF yield)	of Phy-841 RF yield)			
DP 348 RF	DPL / Monsanto	4779	41.7	39.3	1875	96	98			
DP 358 RF	DPL / Monsanto	4910	42.8	39.3	1932	99	101			
MON 16R 330 R2P	Monsanto	4587	42.4	39.9	1830	94	94			
MON 16R 341 R2P	Monsanto	4858	41.6	39.2	1901	98	99			
OA EXP 16-48	Monsanto / Olvey & Assoc.	4780	42.2	39.5	1886	97	98			
PHY 841RF	Phytogen	4883	42.3	39.8	1944	100	100			
PHY 881 RF	Phytogen	4717	42.0	39.9	1883	97	97			
PHY 888 RF	Phytogen	4872	41.4	38.9	1898	98	100			
HA 1432	Hazera									
HA 690	Hazera									
MEAN		4798	42.1	39.5	1894					
^a LSD 0.05		NS	NS	NS	NS					
^a LSD 0.10										
^b %CV		3.8	1.9	1.9	4.7					
°Р		0.252	0.268	0.527	0.737					
* NOTE: LINT YIELD VA	LUES shown were calculated usin	g a mini-gin. Th	nis simple ginning metho	od differs from UCCE r	methods in prior years (mini-gin	does not have commerci	al gin style cleaners.			
	Corrections were calculated for m	noisture loss/gai	in between field harvest	weight timing and gin	ning timing, and basic gin loss	estimates are typically low	/er with use of			
^a LSD = least significant d	lifference at 5% level (differences i	n mean values	shown that differ by mo	re than LSD value sho	ym turnout and int percent hurr	iners represent relative va	anety unierences.			
^b C.V. = coefficient of vari	ation across replications									
P = probability (if value shown is 0.05 or less, there is greater than a 95% probability of significant differences between mean values shown)										

2017 University o	2017 University of California PIMA COTTON VARIETY TRIALS									
Seed cotton yields, m	ini-gin calculated lint percent	and gin turne	out, calculated lint yi	eld averages						
Questions?		I	Cooperative Project	et by:						
contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA)		University of CA Coop	Extension (UC-ANR)	/ Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dep	t. / Univ. CA West Side R	EC			
Cell: (559) 260-8957			Funding by: CA Cotto	n Growers&Ginners A	ssoc., CA Cotton Alliance, UC-	ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Pla	ant Sci. Dept.			
email: rbhutmacher@ucda	avis.edu		Cooperators: multiple growers, Steve Wright, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Raul Delgado, TariLee Frigulti,							
			SJV Quality Cotton Gr	owers AssocShafter,	Univ CA Cooperative Extension	n Tulare, Kings, Fresno, K	Kern, Merced Counties			
Location: Riverdale	Location: Riverdale area (Fresno County) HARVEST DATE: 11/07									
					LINT YIELD*					
		SEED	MINI-GIN	MINI-GIN	*(calculated as	LINT YIELD	SEEDCOTTON			
		COTTON	LINT PERCENT	GIN TURNOUT	seed cotton yield	(as % of	YIELD (as %			
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	(lbs/acre)	(%)	(%)	times Mini-Gin Turnout)	Phy-841 RF yield)	of Phy-841 RF yield)			
DP 348 RF	DPL / Monsanto	2527	39.5	37.5	949	103	103			
DP 358 RF	DPL / Monsanto	2260	38.9	37.0	837	90	92			
MON 16R 330 R2P	Monsanto	2357	39.1	36.9	871	94	96			
MON 16R 341 R2P	Monsanto	2297	40.4	37.9	871	94	94			
OA EXP 16-48	Monsanto / Olvey & Assoc.	2463	39.7	37.3	920	99	101			
PHY 841RF	Phytogen	2446	40.3	37.8	925	100	100			
PHY 881 RF	Phytogen	2694	40.8	38.5	1038	112	110			
PHY 888 RF	Phytogen	2597	39.8	37.4	970	105	106			
HA 1432	Hazera									
HA 690	Hazera									
MEAN		2455	39.8	37.5	923					
^a LSD 0.05		NS	0.7	0.8						
^a LSD 0.10					99					
^b %CV		8.6	1.2	1.5	8.9					
° P		0.102	0.000	0.010	0.051					
* NOTE: LINT YIELD VA	LUES shown were calculated usin	g a mini-gin. Th	his simple ginning metho	od differs from UCCE r	methods in prior years (mini-gin	does not have commerci	al gin style cleaners.			
	Corrections were calculated for m	noisture loss/gai	in between field harvest	weight timing and gin	ning timing, and basic gin loss	estimates are typically low	ver with use of			
^a LSD = least significant d	lifference at 5% level (differences i	n mean values	shown that differ by mo	re than LSD value sho	ym turnout and int percent hurr	iners represent relative va	anety uniterences.			
^b C.V. = coefficient of vari	ation across replications									
P = probability (if value shown is 0.05 or less, there is greater than a 95% probability of significant differences between mean values shown)										

2017 University of California PIMA COTTON VARIETY TRIALS

Seed cotton yields, mini-gin calculated lint percent and gin turnout, calculated lint yield averages

January 2	25,	2018	update
-----------	-----	------	--------

Questions?	Cooperative Project by:
contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA)	University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC
Cell: (559) 260-8957	Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc., CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept.
email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu	Cooperators: multiple growers, Steve Wright, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Raul Delgado, TariLee Frigulti,
	SJV Quality Cotton Growers AssocShafter, Univ CA Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties

Location: Los Banos area (Merced County)

HARVEST DATE: 10 / 30

					LINT YIELD*		
		SEED	MINI-GIN	MINI-GIN	*(calculated as	LINT YIELD	SEEDCOTTON
		COTTON	LINT PERCENT	GIN TURNOUT	seed cotton yield	(as % of	YIELD (as %
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	(lbs/acre)	(%)	(%)	times Mini-Gin Turnout)	Phy-841 RF yield)	of Phy-841 RF yield)
DP 348 RF	DPL / Monsanto	3224	41.6	39.6	1277	87	89
DP 358 RF	DPL / Monsanto	3422	40.9	39.1	1339	92	95
MON 16R 330 R2P	Monsanto	3247	41.5	40.0	1298	89	90
MON 16R 341 R2P	Monsanto	3631	41.9	40.0	1453	99	101
OA EXP 16-48	Monsanto / Olvey & Assoc.	3534	41.6	40.1	1415	97	98
PHY 841RF	Phytogen	3604	42.6	40.6	1462	100	100
PHY 881 RF	Phytogen	3667	42.6	40.5	1488	102	102
PHY 888 RF	Phytogen	3691	42.5	41.0	1512	103	102
HA 1432	Hazera	4994	39.2	37.8	1889	129	139
HA 690	Hazera	3643	38.2	36.9	1342	92	101
MEAN		3666	41.3	39.6	1448		
^a LSD 0.05		286	0.8	1.0	106		
^b %CV		5.4	1.3	1.7	5.0		
° P		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		

* NOTE: LINT YIELD VALUES shown were calculated using a mini-gin. This simple ginning method differs from UCCE methods in prior years (mini-gin does not have commercial gin style cleaners. Corrections were calculated for moisture loss/gain between field harvest weight timing and ginning timing, and basic gin loss estimates are typically lower with use of mini-gin. All samples were handled in an identical manner in terms of mini-gin operations, so gin turnout and lint percent numbers represent relative variety differences.

^a LSD = least significant difference at 5% level (differences in mean values shown that differ by more than LSD value shown are significantly different)

^b C.V. = coefficient of variation across replications

^c P = probability (if value shown is 0.05 or less, there is greater than a 95% probability of significant differences between mean values shown)